

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,356 01/31/2002		01/31/2002	Silvio Iera	AM100547 4549	
25291	7590	10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
WYETH	[		HABTE, KAHSAY		
	LAW GROU RALDA FAR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISON, NJ 07940				1624	1
				DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ġ	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•							
Office Action Summary	10/066,356	IERA ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this c. mmunication and	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	orresp, ndence address					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears n the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
5) Claim(s) 1-7 and 12-16 is/are allowed.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 20</u> is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) realition and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2-3</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/066,356

Art Unit: 1624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-7 and 12-20 in 2. Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the groups of inventions of Groups I and II are not complex, the Examiner will duplicate efforts searching both Groups I and II separately, the starting material is novel, there is no burden to search both inventions, etc. The examiner disagrees with applicants. Restriction requirement is not based on the complexity of the invention, but the nature of the invention. In instant case, the restriction was based on the intermediate-final product relationship as set forth on the restriction requirement (Paper No. 4). The intermediate product is deemed to be useful as starting material to make diazonium ion for Scheimann reaction ands the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Applicants are silent in refuting the points made in restricting the inventions (i.e. the intermediate –final product relationship). Applicant's lengthy argument in regard to the search strategy is acknowledged, but it is not persuasive. In addition to the intermediate-final product relationship, the coexamination of additional group would require search of subclasses unnecessary for the examination of the elected claims. The search for the invention of Group II would include search of subclass 564/86 and

Application/Control Number: 10/066,356

Art Unit: 1624

564/87. Therefore, coexamination of the additional invention would require a serious additional burden of search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 8-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 5.

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 17 and 19, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 1624

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 703-308-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH October 29, 2003 JOHN M. FORD PRIMARY EXAMINER

଼ିଆଧିkund J. Shah

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1624